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Summary

This report is a modified version of two reports submitted to the National Parks and Wildlife Service in 2007 and 2008.

The orchids of the majority of the Lough Carra lakeshore habitats were intensively surveyed in 2007 and 2008 for both distribution and abundance. Three common species (Common twayblade, Early purple orchid and Common spotted orchid) were mapped on the basis of the 1 km² grid squares. All other species were mapped on the basis of 1 hectare grid squares.

A total of well over 26,000 orchids of 18 species were recorded. However, although many habitats were species-rich and still contained good numbers of orchids, at least three previously recorded species were not found.

Lesser twayblade, Greater butterfly orchid and Green-winged orchid could not be located. It is possible that these species still exist in the area, but the loss of suitable habitat suggests that there has been some reduction in orchid diversity around the lake. Dense-flowered orchid could not be found at the two previously known sites, one of which is now improved agricultural grassland. However, a new site for this species was located.

Loss of semi-natural habitats and damage to those remaining areas of suitable habitat continues to erode the distribution and abundance of the orchids. Overgrazing and heavy use by livestock in spring and summer are serious problems, with many areas denuded of nearly all their flowering orchids. Conversely, there are a few sites where undergrazing presents the long term problem. Feral Fallow deer are also causing considerable damage, especially at the southern end of the lake, as are feral goats in one area.

Recommendations are made with respect to protection and management of the lakeshore habitats, including:

- Improved land management (especially grazing regimes) through cooperation with farmers and landowners
- Prevention of further loss of or damage to habitats
- Control of feral deer.
- Urgent implementation of appropriate management in certain key areas, and consideration of purchase of some land for designation as a Nature Reserve.
- Full and proper enforcement of relevant legislation.
Introduction

The site synopsis for the Lough Carra/Mask Complex eSAC includes “The area is also noted for its diversity of orchid species” and mentions that Dense-flowered orchid (*Neotinea maculata*) occurs at the northern limit of its distribution.

Praeger (1934) noted that “the lake shores are remarkably rich in orchids” and that 19 of Ireland’s 26 orchid species occur (in another publication he states 19 out of 24).

The habitats around the lakeshore are very varied and include GA1 (improved grassland), GS1 (dry calcareous and neutral grassland), GS4 (wet grassland), GM1 (marsh), PF1 (rich fen and flush), several woodland habitats and ER2 (exposed calcareous rock). The extent of the habitats of greatest conservation importance is declining as a result of agricultural practices and other developments and it seems probable that pressures on the lakeshore are likely to continue to increase.

The presence of orchids often indicates high ecological status for a location and might be used to identify the most valuable habitats around the lakeshore.

During the course of fieldwork over several years, many locations containing orchids have been found, but no systematic record was kept of their distribution and abundance. However, it is now possible to state that there are several locations where very large numbers of individual species are found as well as sites where there are several species in close proximity.

There are limited sources of previous information on the distribution of orchids around Lough Carra, but field notes have been obtained from Professor Richard Bateman relating to botanical survey work undertaken in 1981, 1984 and 1997 which contain references to various orchid species on the lakeshore. In addition, NPWS has provided data from botanical surveys undertaken in 1974 which include records of some orchid species within transects taken at various sites around the lake. Finally, Julia Nunn at the Ulster Museum has also provided some records.

The orchids

Orchid taxonomy has been undergoing substantial changes in recent years and, for this reason, it is difficult to be precise about the number of species known to occur. However, the following have been recorded previously:

1. *Neottia cordata*  
   Lesser twayblade
2. *Neottia ovata*  
   Common twayblade
3. *Neottia nidus-avis*  
   Bird’s nest orchid
4. *Epipactis palustris*  
   Marsh helleborine
5. *Epipactis helleborine*  
   Broad-leaved helleborine
6. *Spiranthes spiralis*  
   Autumn lady’s tresses
7. *Orchis mascula*  
   Early purple orchid
8. *Platanthera bifolia*  
   Lesser butterfly orchid
9. *Platanthera chlorantha*  
   Greater butterfly orchid
10. *Gymnadenia sp.*  
    Fragrant orchid*
11. *Dactylorhiza incarnata*  
    Early marsh orchid
12. *Dactylorhiza viridis*  
Frog orchid

13. *Dactylorhiza fuchsii*  
Common spotted orchid

14. *Dactylorhiza maculata*  
Heath spotted orchid

15. *Dactylorhiza traunsteinerioides*  
Pugsley’s marsh orchid**

16. *Dactylorhiza occidentalis*  
Irish marsh orchid***

17. *Neotinea maculata*  
Dense-flowered orchid

18. *Anacamptis pyramidalis*  
Pyramidal orchid

19. *Anacamptis morio*  
Green-winged orchid

20. *Ophrys insectifera*  
Fly orchid

21. *Ophrys apifera*  
Bee orchid

* n.b. Fragrant orchid is now considered by some authorities to be three separate species, or three separate subspecies: Common fragrant orchid, Marsh fragrant orchid and Heath fragrant orchid.

** n.b. Pugsley’s marsh orchid: also known as Narrow-leaved marsh orchid and previously *D. traunsteineri*.

*** n.b. *D. occidentalis*: previously *D. majalis occidentalis*.

In addition to the above species list, NPWS data include a single record of *D. purpurella* Northern marsh orchid.

**Objectives**

The overall objective of this project was to map the distribution of orchids around the Lough Carra lakeshore with an indication of relative abundance. Additional objectives included assessing the status of each species, evaluating the impact of current land use practices and identifying sites or areas where conservation priorities might lie.

**Methods**

Fieldwork was carried out on 43 days between 10 May and 6 September 2007 and on 12 days between 12 May and 29 June 2008 (see Annex 1). Each kilometre grid square was visited on at least two occasions, once “early” in the season (May/June) and once in mid-late season (June/July), with those sites known or suspected of holding Autumn lady’s tresses also surveyed in late August or early September. A hand-held GPS was used at all times to record location. The complete lakeshore (37 kilometre grid squares) was surveyed over the course of these two seasons.

A data recording sheet was used for all field records (specimen attached as Annex 2).

The distribution and abundance of three species known to be widely distributed and common was recorded at the 1 km² level. These were Common twayblade, Early purple orchid and Common spotted orchid. This was done by walking around the shoreline and examining all habitat within about 50-100 metres of the water’s edge, recording the number of specimens of each species present up to 100 (after which, the record 100+ was entered on the data sheet). While this is a fairly rough and ready
method, it is sufficient to provide a good indication of the abundance of these three common species. It is important to note that the numbers refer to plants growing within about 100 metres of the lakeshore and do not reflect total numbers in each grid square. In general, the numbers should provide an index of abundance.

All other species were recorded at the hectare grid square level using the same overall technique of searching a strip of lakeshore around 50 to 100 metres in width (depending on habitat type and terrain). In addition, some areas slightly further away from the lakeshore were surveyed because it was known that they held important orchid populations.

Identification was supported using Harrap and Harrap (2005) as the principle guide, with Delforge (1995) and Ettlinger (1997 and 1998) where necessary. In addition, Professor Richard Bateman, the acknowledged authority on the marsh orchids, assisted through the examination of high quality photographs taken by the authors.

No specimens that appeared to be hybrids were included in the records (almost all of these were hybrids between Common spotted orchid and Heath spotted orchid).

The Fragrant orchid species: initially, specimens were separated into Common fragrant and Marsh fragrant on the basis of characteristics given in Harrap and Harrap (2005). However, it became clear that either these characteristics are not sufficiently reliable or these two “species” overlap and hybridise in this region. Therefore, when processing the field data, all specimens of these types were grouped under the heading “Fragrant sp.” Nevertheless, it is the authors’ opinion that both types (species?) occur around Lough Carra and that this is an area where further research is needed.

The Marsh orchids: the situation with respect to the Marsh orchids is far from simple as a result of taxonomic complexity, nomenclatural changes, difficult identification and hybridisation. Previously, four “species” had been recorded around the lake (see above). During this study, no specimens were found that could be allocated to Irish marsh orchid (D. occidentalis), despite the fact that the 1975 NPWS survey recorded several. The authors are familiar with this species from elsewhere in Co. Mayo and Co. Galway and believe that it is possible that it does not occur around Lough Carra.

In view of these difficulties, all marsh orchids other than the abovementioned two specimens were recorded as Early marsh orchid. A small selection of photographs were sent to Professor Bateman who confirmed this identification.

After collation, DMAP was used to produce distribution maps for each species, with abundance shown using a simple index in five categories:

1. Single specimen
2. 2-10
3. 11-30
4. 31-100
5. 100 +

In addition, a map was produced to illustrate species-rich areas.
Results

A total in excess of 26,000 orchid specimens of 18 species was recorded (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Total number of specimens recorded 2007+2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neottia cordata</td>
<td>Lesser twayblade</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neottia ovata</td>
<td>Common twayblade</td>
<td>1,334 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neottia nidus-avis</td>
<td>Bird’s nest orchid</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipactis palustris</td>
<td>Marsh helleborine</td>
<td>8,041 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipactis helleborine</td>
<td>Broad-leaved helleborine</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiranthus spiralis</td>
<td>Autumn lady’s tresses</td>
<td>987 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchis mascula</td>
<td>Early purple orchid</td>
<td>1,719 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platanthera bifolia</td>
<td>Lesser butterfly orchid</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platanthera chlorantha</td>
<td>Greater butterfly orchid</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnadenia sp.</td>
<td>Fragrant orchid</td>
<td>3,364 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza incarnata</td>
<td>Early marsh orchid</td>
<td>5,252 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza viridis</td>
<td>Frog orchid</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza fuchsii</td>
<td>Common spotted orchid</td>
<td>3,287 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza maculata</td>
<td>Heath spotted orchid</td>
<td>1,701 ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza purpurella</td>
<td>Northern marsh orchid</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactylorhiza traunsteineroides</td>
<td>Pugsley’s marsh orchid</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacamptis pyramidalis</td>
<td>Pyramidal orchid</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacamptis morio</td>
<td>Green-winged orchid</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophrys insectifera</td>
<td>Fly orchid</td>
<td>201 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophrys apifera</td>
<td>Bee orchid</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (all species)</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,465 ++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Number of specimens of each species recorded during fieldwork in 2007 and 2008.

n.b. the symbol “+” indicates that counting in at least one square exceeded 100.
the symbol “++” indicates that counting in several squares exceeded 100.
Species accounts

The following notes should be read in conjunction with the species distribution maps in Annex 3.

**Neottia cordata**  
Lesser twayblade

Although Praeger had recorded this species in the general Lough Carra area previously, none was found in 2007/2008. If it still occurs, it is clearly not a widespread or common species. However, its preference for acid soils suggests that its location in the area is most likely to be further away from the lakeshore.

**Neottia ovata**  
Common twayblade

This species was mapped at the broader scale (1 km² grid square) since it was known to be widespread, abundant and not habitat specific. It occurs commonly and abundantly around Lough Carra in woodland, scrub and grassland in 27 of the 37 grid squares. In eight of the 37 grid squares over 100 were recorded, but it was absent from ten squares and occurred in variable numbers in the remaining 19 squares. However, it was interesting to note that a very large proportion of the specimens recorded during the survey, especially those in woodland, were not flowering. It was also observed that the flower spikes on some plants had been eaten by livestock (probably cattle) and must be considered, therefore, as palatable to herbivores.

**Neottia nidus-avis**  
Bird’s nest orchid

This species was recorded in small numbers in this survey in two locations around the lake: the Creggaun and Leamnahye woodlands of the Partry House Estate and the deciduous woodland fringing the Derrinrush peninsula. In both cases, the habitat occupied (mature, deciduous woodland) is typical of the species.

In addition, data from Nunn (2007) show a record of the species from the Doon peninsula, also an area of mature, deciduous woodland.

Since the 2007/2008 survey was completed, continued presence of this species in the woodlands of the Doon peninsula has been confirmed, together with new locations in woodlands at Moorehall and Burriscarra. It is clearly somewhat more widespread than the 2007/2008 fieldwork suggests.

**Epipactis palustris**  
Marsh helleborine

This is one of the most abundant of the orchids around Lough Carra, often occurring in large colonies with many “individual” plants not flowering. However, since it is known that a single plant may produce multiple aerial stems from an extensive rhizome, it is quite likely that these dense colonies actually represent a relatively small number of plants.
It occurs in many places where fen habitat is found, in the *Schoenus* zone, and is often abundant close to the water’s edge, but was also recorded in damp grassland and even occasionally in dry, limestone grassland.

This species is clearly extremely palatable to cattle (and, probably, sheep) since in many locations most of the aerial stems and leaves had been eaten by livestock. Since it cannot compete successfully in rank vegetation or tall grassland, its habitat requirements include a grazing regime. However, heavy spring and early summer grazing by cattle, as occurs in most parts of the lakeshore, is not likely to be the optimum!

*Epipactis helleborine*  
Broad-leaved helleborine

Although primarily a woodland species and found in this habitat in several places on the lakeshore, this orchid also occurs in some of the exposed limestone habitats around the lake, although it is never a very abundant species. During this survey, casual observation suggested that many of the individuals growing in densely shaded woodland appeared less robust than those on limestone and had fewer flowering spikes.

*Spiranthes spiralis*  
Autumn lady’s tresses

This species was recorded in just five km² grid squares, but in several locations in very large numbers. Its requirement for short, nutrient poor grassland is reflected in the fact that its major stronghold is in an area of just this habitat, but where severe overgrazing has occurred in recent years (on the Kilkeeran peninsula). As in many other areas, light autumn/winter grazing would be of greater benefit, and there is clearly a threat of conversion of this excellent habitat (the most species-rich around the lake) into improved grassland.

*Orchis mascula*  
Early purple orchid

This species was mapped at the broader scale (1 km² grid square) since it was known to be widespread, abundant and not habitat specific. It occurs commonly and abundantly around Lough Carra in woodland, scrub and grassland. In 13 of the 37 grid squares over 100 were recorded, but it was absent from four squares and occurred in variable numbers in the remaining 20 squares.

Casual observation and recent research by Lorraine Grimes (2007) suggest that this species is highly palatable to herbivores, including feral fallow deer. The woodlands of Partry House Estate are poor in this species but have a dense deer population. The Doon peninsula woodlands have only occasional deer present, but abundant Early purple orchids. The work of Lorraine Grimes (2007) with deer exclosures supports this and suggests that this species is so attractive to fallow deer that they will break into a fenced area to get to the flowering spikes. In those areas of lakeshore where
spring grazing of cattle occurred, many (in some cases most) of the Early purple orchids had been eaten.

*Platanthera bifolia*  
Lesser butterfly orchid

A fairly widespread, but rarely numerous species, found in eight of the km² grid squares and occurring almost exclusively on unimproved, nutrient poor grassland. The largest colony, of at least 37 specimens spread over five hectare grid squares, was located in the area where Pugsley’s marsh orchid occurs.

*Platanthera chlorantha*  
Greater butterfly orchid

Although Praeger recorded this species in the general Lough Carra area, none was found in 2007/2008. If it still occurs, it is clearly not a widespread or common species.

*Gymnadenia sp.*  
Fragrant orchid

As noted above, it is believed that both the Marsh fragrant orchid and Common fragrant orchid occur around the lake (if it is accepted that there are two, valid species). However, distinguishing between the two, especially when hybrids may be present and the typical habitats of each species are juxtaposed, is too difficult to attempt in a study of this nature.

Around the lakeshore, Fragrant orchids are found, often in extremely large numbers, in grassland, damp grassland, fen, the *Schoenus* zone and marsh. With well in excess of three thousand recorded during this survey, this is one of the lake’s most widespread and abundant species. It was recorded in all but nine of the km² grid squares (those grid squares lacking this species tend to be also those areas where fewest orchid species are found and where heavily grazed, improved grassland is found close to much of the shoreline).

In efforts to identify individuals and colonies as either Common or Marsh, many were examined closely and measured. Some individuals appeared to have the characteristics of Common, and some of Marsh, but the majority seemed to be intermediate between the two.

*Dactylorhiza incarnata*  
Early marsh orchid

An extremely widespread and abundant species, growing mostly close to the water’s edge, and often more or less in the water. Recorded in all 37 km² grid squares. The most favoured habitat is probably the *Schoenus* zone, although many individuals are also found in dry grassland.

*Dactylorhiza viridis*  
Frog orchid
A localised species, occurring in small colonies in just two km² grid squares in nutrient poor, species-rich grassland with a short sward. Never very numerous, its habitat requirements are probably very restrictive.

*Dactylorhiza fuchsii*  
Common spotted orchid

This species was mapped at the broader scale (1 km² grid square) since it was known to be widespread, abundant and not habitat specific. It occurs commonly and abundantly around Lough Carra, mostly in grassland, but also in woodland and scrub. It was found in all 37 km² grid squares surveyed, with over 100 recorded in 25 of them.

*Dactylorhiza maculata*  
Heath spotted orchid

Not as widespread or abundant as Common spotted orchid, occurring in 15 of the 37 km² grid squares, but nonetheless very numerous in certain habitats. In particular, it was found mostly in rough grassland where heather occurred. Since these areas are mostly not true heath, the habitat tends to be a mosaic of alkaline grassland with patches of heath vegetation, with the Heath spotted orchid occurring in the latter. Often, and not surprisingly, many hybrids with Common spotted orchid were present as well (as noted above, these were not included in the records).

*Dactylorhiza purpurella*  
Northern marsh orchid

Two specimens of this species were found at one site, together with a single specimen of what appeared to be a hybrid between this species and Common spotted orchid. In addition to the two specimens in flower, it is possible that a small number of non-flowering specimens were present. The habitat was rather rank, ungrazed damp grassland.

The site where it had been recorded by NPWS in 1975 (in the region of M167710 and M168710) was searched carefully, but without success.

*Dactylorhiza traunsteinerioides*  
Pugsley’s marsh orchid

The location of Bateman’s record of this species was searched and six specimens found.

*Dactylorhiza occidentalis*  
Irish marsh orchid

None of the marsh orchids recorded during this survey could be identified as this species, despite examining specimens carefully in sites where the species was recorded by NPWS in 1975. It is concluded that either these previous records should
be reclassified as Early marsh orchid, or a closer examination by a marsh orchid specialist should be arranged.

Neotinea maculata          Dense-flowered orchid

The two sites where this species had been recorded by Praeger were examined extremely intensely and carefully in 2007 and 2008, as well as in previous and subsequent years, in the hope of finding them still extant. However, no specimens were found at either of these sites. In one case, the area is now almost entirely “improved grassland”, and in the other the area of semi-natural habitat remaining has probably decreased. It is concluded that the populations of both these sites have been lost.

Fortunately, this survey discovered a small number of specimens (ten) in a previously unknown area. The species was found in three hectare grid squares, all close together, with eight of the specimens in one site. In 2009, the site was revisited and slightly larger numbers found, suggesting a colony of perhaps around twenty or thirty plants in all.

There are increasingly few areas of habitat suitable for this species remaining around the lake as a result of conversion of land for intensive agriculture, quarrying and other development. The newly discovered location is also under threat from grazing pressure.

Anacamptis pyramidalis      Pyramidal orchid

Although a relatively widespread species in Co. Mayo, only two specimens of this species were found during the 2007 survey. The habitat occupied in this instance was intermediate between improved agricultural grassland (GA1) and Dry calcareous and neutral grassland (GS1). However, in 2008 a total of a further 129 was found in grassland in six hectare grid squares on the eastern shore of the lake.

Anacamptis morio          Green-winged orchid

The previous record of this species originates from Praeger (1906), and there is no indication of a precise locality. Much suitable habitat has been lost over the last hundred years, and, since it was not found during this survey, it seems likely that this species no longer occurs around the lake (unless in one of the squares yet to be surveyed).

Ophrys insectifera     Fly orchid

With one exception, this species occurs in relatively small numbers in nutrient poor grassland and the Schoenus zone. The exception is a site on the Kilkeeran peninsula where it is very abundant, with over 100 recorded in one hectare grid square. Overall it was found in just eight of the 37 km² grid squares.
**Ophrys apifera**  
**Bee orchid**

This species was found at six localities, but was numerous in only two of these. In one case, a colony of 23 flowering spikes was found in an area of excellent habitat, but with a potentially serious problem of inappropriate management (heavy grazing, mostly by cattle in spring and summer). When revisited, most of the flower spikes had been eaten.

A second site held eight flowering spikes, but in a very small area of suitable habitat which was also subject to livestock grazing in spring/summer. When revisited, six of the flower spikes had been eaten.

The Bee orchid colonies of both these sites are clearly under considerable long-term threat unless the land management practices can be adapted to take the needs of the species (and others) into account.

Subsequent to the 2007/2008 survey, this species has also been recorded on the Doon peninsula.

**Discussion**

Any survey of this type will, necessarily, be limited in value by variable conditions. In this case, there are two major variables that affect interpretation of results: firstly, unusual weather conditions result in some orchid species faring better or worse than usual (for example, observations elsewhere in the region suggest that the Lesser butterfly orchids had a poor year in 2007) and, secondly, livestock grazing might have a profound effect on our ability to see the plants. This latter point is exemplified by the observation that the site with the greatest abundance of Autumn lady’s tresses was surveyed on 26 August 2007, but when visited a week later there were none to be seen as they had all been eaten by cattle. A similar situation occurred with some of the other species (especially Marsh helleborine) in some locations.

In addition, several orchid species are renowned for their erratic appearance from one year to another.

Despite these limitations, the results of this survey illustrate some extremely important points about the orchids around Lough Carra and the management of their habitats.

Firstly, it is clear that much valuable habitat has been lost over the last hundred years. Although it might be claimed that most was lost many decades ago, other recent research (Huxley and Thornton, In Press) has shown that around 25% of the land in the Carra catchment has been converted into improved grassland since the early 1970s. Despite its designation as an SAC, some land within the protected area has been damaged (and some converted to improved grassland with application of seed and chemical fertilizer) since 2000. The loss of the two previously known sites for
Dense-flowered orchid are, perhaps, the most notable, but the failure to find Green-winged orchid also suggests that its habitat has been destroyed. It is not just agriculture that is causing this loss of and damage to habitats; quarrying activities, illegal developments and boat slipways are also involved.

Apart from the loss of species from the area, it is also important to note that many of the less abundant species, especially those with restricted habitat requirements, have almost certainly suffered a reduction in their local range. For example, it is likely that Bee orchid occurred much more widely (albeit in small numbers), but has been reduced in its extent around the lake by conversion of natural/semi-natural grassland for intensive agriculture.

However, there remains still a great deal of excellent and high status habitat of various types, both within the SAC and around its periphery. What must become a priority is the strict protection of these habitats. This survey has identified some specific areas of very high conservation value and it might be appropriate to focus on these as a matter of some urgency.

A second point of great significance is the fact that much of the lakeshore is being managed or used in a manner likely to further degrade or damage habitats. Nutrient enrichment of the soils has occurred since the 1970s, and is still occurring now. Slurry and chemical fertilizers are spread on land relatively close to the water’s edge and on soil already saturated with nutrients. This can only reduce the conservation value of the remaining natural and semi-natural habitats. In addition, many of the orchids are growing at the water’s edge on land that is flooded for at least part of the year. Since the water of Lough Carra (and its marl bed) is also enriched with nutrients from agricultural sources there is a possibility of ecological changes to the vegetation.

Good land management for habitats of the types occurring around Lough Carra must involve careful use of grazing livestock. Unfortunately, this is not happening at all. Around most of the lakeshore, livestock, especially heavy cattle, are being grazed primarily in spring and summer, rather than autumn or winter. This is causing considerable damage to the vegetation. The fact that most livestock are also allowed free access to the lake itself is also a source of concern. In some areas there is significant overgrazing, but in a few sections of lakeshore the opposite problem occurs: i.e. little or no grazing, resulting in invasion and proliferation of rank vegetation, scrub and, eventually, woodland.

Some of the orchid species are clearly highly palatable to livestock and are, therefore, preferentially selected. This is certainly true of Early purple orchid and Marsh helleborine, but probably applies to many other species. Those that are not numerous, such as Lesser butterfly orchid, Frog orchid, Dense-flowered orchid and Bee orchid are especially vulnerable and, whilst a single year of damage is unlikely to be of great concern, continual pressure from livestock is likely to reduce these populations further.

The presence of feral Fallow deer and feral goats in several areas is also a serious problem. The former have been shown to be damaging at least the population of Early purple orchids and the latter are destroying much natural or semi-natural vegetation in one area of lakeshore.
Recommendations

Since virtually all of the area surveyed is included in a designated SAC (and NHA), the following suggestions should be regarded in this context, i.e. they relate to areas of habitat that should, in principle, receive strict protection and should be the subject of carefully formulated management prescriptions. Unfortunately, at the time of writing (2011), there is no management plan in operation for Lough Carra and its terrestrial lakeshore habitats and, partly because of this, valuable habitat continues to be lost, degraded or managed inappropriately. In the absence of a proper management plan and the knowledge that such a mechanism is many years away, the following recommendations seem appropriate:

1. **Land management**
   - The problems of overgrazing, undergrazing, and particularly grazing at inappropriate times should be addressed. Farmers and landowners need to be made aware of the damage being done and provided with advice, incentives and alternatives. For example, simple electric fencing placed five or ten metres back from the water’s edge during spring and summer would prevent much of the damage observed during this survey.
   - Further habitat loss through conversion of natural and semi-natural habitats to improved grassland should be prevented.
   - The numbers of feral Fallow deer should be drastically reduced and controlled.
   - Those landowners already demonstrating or expressing a desire to manage for conservation should be encouraged through provision of advice and, wherever possible, through grant aid.

2. **Key areas**
   - Those “key” areas of lakeshore habitat identified through this study (and studies of other taxa, including birds, dragonflies and butterflies) should be made the subject of urgent, priority management attention. The cooperation and support of relevant landowners should be sought and steps taken to ensure that appropriate management regimes are put in place as quickly as possible.
   - There is a very strong case for purchase of certain areas for designation as a Nature Reserve. In particular, a major part of the Kilkeeran peninsula and its associated fen habitats more than justifies such action.

3. **Real protection?**
   - The legal protection afforded to the lakeshore should be properly enforced, with landowners made aware of the importance of the site and the certainty of prosecution in the case of infringements that damage habitats or species in contravention of the law.
   - The full support of Mayo County Council should be obtained to preclude the possibility of “buck passing” and avoidance of responsibility.
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Annex 1

Dates on which fieldwork was undertaken in 2007

May  10, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30.
June  1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 29.
July  1, 2, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27.
August 26.
September 1, 6.

Dates on which fieldwork was undertaken in 2008

May  12, 20, 25, 28, 29.
June  15, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26, 29.
**ORCHID RECORDING FORM**

Date
Location
Habitats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>location</th>
<th>numbers</th>
<th>index</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common twayblade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early purple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common spotted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 3

The following maps show:

1. The outline of Lough Carra with the OS 1 km grid.

2. The diversity of orchid species in each 1 km grid square.

3. The distribution and abundance of the three “common” species (Common twayblade, Early purple orchid and Common spotted orchid) by 1 km grid square.

4. The distribution and abundance of all other species by hectare grid square.

Please note the following points with respect to these maps:

- It may appear that some orchids are growing in water! This is not the case. Where a symbol appears to be in water it is because the symbol is always positioned in the **centre** of the hectare grid square, although the orchids will have been positioned in a corner of the square.

- For some species, two maps are presented. The first shows distribution over the whole lake, the second shows distribution in more detail over the restricted range of that species.
Orchid species diversity: number of species recorded in each km² grid square.
Early purple orchid
Common spotted orchid
Bird's nest orchid

Abundance symbols
- 100+
- 31-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Bird's nest orchid

Abundance symbols

- 100+
- 31-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Marsh helleborine
Broad-leaved helleborine

Abundance symbols
- 100+
- 31-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Autumn lady's tresses
Autumn lady's tresses

Abundance symbols
- 100+
- 31-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Lesser butterfly orchid
Fragrant orchid sp.
Early marsh orchid
Frog orchid

Abundance symbols
- 100+
- 31-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Heath spotted orchid

Abundance symbols:
- 100+  
- 31-100  
- 11-30  
- 2-10  
- 1
Northern marsh orchid
Pugsley's marsh orchid
Pugsley's marsh orchid
Dense-flowered orchid
Dense-flowered orchid
Pyramidal orchid

Abundance symbols:
- 100-1
- 11-100
- 11-30
- 2-10
- 1
Pyramidal orchid
Fly orchid

Abundance symbols:
- 100+
- 1-10
- 1-30
- 2-10
- 1